Application No. 10/790,816 Docket No.: M4065.0607/P607-A

Amendment dated January 30, 2006 Reply to Office Action of October 31, 2005

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claim 27 has been amended. Claims 1-26 and 33-48 were previously

canceled. Claims 49-50 have been added. No new matter has been added.

Claims 27-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by

Wolstenholme et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,751,012 (Wolstenholme). This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

As amended, independent claim 27 recites an "array of resistance variable

memory cells" having "at least one memory cell comprising pillars of stacked materials

on a semiconductor substrate, the stacked materials comprising a first electrode layer, a

chalcogenide glass layer having metal ions diffused therein and being capable of

changing resistance under the influence of an applied voltage, and a second electrode

layer."

Wolstenholme fails to disclose all limitations of claim 27. Wolstenholme

relates to a polysilicon pillar diode. According to Wolstenholme, the polysilicon pillar

diode includes a plurality of polysilicon layers that are stacked on a substrate. The

polysilicon pillar diode is below a memory element. Wolstenholme's memory element

includes a chalcogenide layer, but the polysilicon pillar does not. Therefore,

Wolstenholme does not anticipate claim 27 or any claims depending therefore. For at

least these reasons, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 31 and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Wolstenholme in view of Shroff et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,515,343

(Shroff). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

4

Application No. 10/790,816 Docket No.: M4065.0607/P607-A

Amendment dated January 30, 2006

Reply to Office Action of October 31, 2005

As discussed above, Wolstenholme fails to disclose, teach or suggest all limitations of independent claim 27. Shroff relates to an antifuse memory and is cited for teaching a barrier layer. Shroff, however, is silent about any of the limitations of claim 27. Therefore, Shroff does not supplement the deficiencies of Wolstenholme. For at least these reasons, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: January 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

Elizabeth Parsons

Registration No.: 52,499

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicant